

Enhancing Fake News Detection Efficiency through Deep Learning Models

S. Silvia Priscila^{1,*}, C. Sathish Kumar², Y. Julia Suganthi³, D.Celin Pappa⁴

¹Department of Computer Science, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. ^{2.3}Department of Computer Science, Bishop Heber College (Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India. ⁴Department of Science and Humanity, Dhaanish Ahmed College of Engineering, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. silviaprisila.cbcs.cs@bharathuniv.ac.in¹, csathish@bhc.edu.in², juliasuganthiy@gmail.com³, celinpappa@dhaanishcollege.in⁴

Abstract: Detecting fake news on social media has drawn much attention in the last ten years. Social Media is becoming a more popular news source than traditional television. This research addresses the critical issue of fake news detection by employing a multifaceted approach that integrates advanced machine learning algorithms and natural language processing (NLP) techniques. The study analyses textual and contextual features to enhance the accuracy of distinguishing deceptive content from legitimate information. Through a comprehensive examination of linguistic patterns, source credibility, and contextual cues, our proposed model demonstrates significant advancements in identifying and combating misinformation. The research methodology involves collecting and analyzing a dataset that contains features such as title, text, subject, date, and class to train and validate the model, ensuring its adaptability to varying forms of deceptive content. The results showcase the model's capability to detect fake news with high precision, contributing to media literacy and information integrity. As misinformation substantially threatens public discourse and decision-making, this research stands at the forefront of combating such challenges. The findings contribute to the academic discourse on fake news detection and hold practical implications for developing more resilient and accurate tools to safeguard the information ecosystem.

Keywords: Fake News Detection; Social Media; Machine Learning; Natural Language Processing; Media Literacy; Textual Features; Decision-Making; Digital Age; Artificial Intelligence.

Received on: 30/03/2024, Revised on: 24/05/2024, Accepted on: 29/07/2024, Published on: 09/09/2024

Journal Homepage: https://www.fmdbpub.com/user/journals/details/FTSIN

DOI: https://doi.org/10.69888/FTSIN.2024.000285

Cite as: S. S. Priscila, C. S. Kumar, Y. J. Suganthi, and D.C. Pappa, "Enhancing Fake News Detection Efficiency through Deep Learning Models," *FMDB Transactions on Sustainable Intelligent Networks.*, vol.1, no.3, pp. 155–164, 2024.

Copyright © 2024 S. S. Priscila *et al.*, licensed to Fernando Martins De Bulhão (FMDB) Publishing Company. This is an open access article distributed under <u>CC BY-NC-SA 4.0</u>, which allows unlimited use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium with proper attribution.

1. Introduction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of information dissemination, the pervasive influence of fake news has emerged as a complex and consequential challenge. The digital era, marked by technological advancements and the ubiquity of online platforms, has ushered in unprecedented opportunities for creating and propagating deceptive information [9]. This study explores the multifaceted nature of fake news, delving into its origins, manifestations, and far-reaching societal implications [7]. As the boundaries between truth and falsehood become increasingly blurred, the urgency to comprehend, detect, and mitigate the

^{*}Corresponding author.

impact of misinformation becomes paramount [8]. The proliferation of fake news poses a significant threat to various domains, ranging from journalism and politics to public health and beyond.

The rise of social media platforms and online news portals has democratized information dissemination, giving individuals unprecedented access to news and opinions. However, this democratization has come at a cost, as nefarious actors exploit these channels to spread false narratives, misinformation, and propaganda. Therefore, misleading information could be a global problem and a global challenge. Many scientists contend that artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning could also be used to solve the problem of fake news [1]. Traditional methods of fact-checking struggle to keep pace with the sheer volume and velocity of information circulating online. In this context, machine learning emerges as a promising ally in the battle against fake news, leveraging its ability to analyze vast datasets, identify patterns, and make predictions with remarkable accuracy.

The globe is improving at the same time. While there are many advantages to living in the digital era, there are also disadvantages. There are several issues in our digital age. Among them is fake news. False information is easy to propagate. Spreading false information to harm someone's or a company's reputation. The propaganda may be directed toward a political party or another organization [2]. Fake news consists of planned misinformation circulated through some mediums, especially social media [11]. Machine learning algorithms offer a multifaceted approach to fake news detection, drawing on various techniques such as natural language processing (NLP), sentiment analysis, and network analysis [12]. NLP algorithms, for instance, can scrutinize the linguistic nuances of news articles, social media posts, and other textual content to discern inconsistencies, biased language, or misleading information. Sentiment analysis further aids in gauging the emotional tone of a piece, helping to identify manipulative content designed to evoke strong reactions [13].

Understanding the motivations behind creating and disseminating fake news is fundamental to developing effective detection methods [14]. The introduction explores the psychological, social, and political drivers that fuel the production of deceptive content. From ideological manipulation to financial gain, the motives behind generating fake news are diverse and often intertwined with broader societal issues. An in-depth examination of these motives sets the stage for a nuanced understanding of the complex dynamics. As technology becomes an integral part of the information ecosystem, the intersection of technology and misinformation takes centre stage. Artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and natural language processing (NLP) are identified as pivotal tools in the arsenal against fake news. There are several approaches to fake news detection using machine learning.

One frequent strategy is to employ natural language processing (NLP) techniques to analyze the text of news stories [14]. There are several machine learning algorithms accessible, such as reinforcement learning, unsupervised learning, and supervised learning algorithms [10]. A data collection known as the "train data set" must initially be used to train the algorithms. These algorithms can be used for many jobs after training [3]. A set of techniques known as machine learning (ML) enables software systems to provide increasingly accurate results without requiring manual reprogramming. Data scientists classify changes or features the model must examine and use to generate predictions [4].

The democratization of information dissemination, a hallmark of the digital age, has empowered individuals to share news and narratives on a global scale. However, this democratization has also exposed society to the darker side of information sharing misinformation. The introduction navigates the evolving nature of information sharing, emphasizing the need for a dynamic and adaptive approach to counter the ever-changing strategies employed by purveyors of fake news. In conclusion, the introduction is a comprehensive entry point into the intricate world of fake news. By providing a nuanced exploration of its origins, societal ramifications, and the pivotal role of technology, it sets the groundwork for a thorough investigation. As the study unfolds, it aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse surrounding fake news detection and mitigation, offering insights and solutions to address the complexities of this pervasive challenge in the contemporary information landscape.

2. Literature Review

Mahmoud et al. [1] discuss the elements involved in identifying fake news. A reminder that not all fake news will spread through online social media. SVM and NLP are currently used to test the Naïve Bayes classifier approach that is being presented. Future algorithms may yield better outcomes with hybrid ways of achieving the same goal. Using applied models, the system mentioned above can identify bogus news. As per the results, the model dataset has an accuracy of 95.26%, precision of 95.79%, recall of 94.56%, and F-measure of 95.17% relative to each other. On the other hand, 296 positive, 308 negative, 17 false positives, and 13 false negatives are present in the predicted models.

In this study, Govindan et al., [2], a model for detecting fake news employs machine learning and a Text Vectorizer to address the issue. With an accuracy of over 97%, the experimental assessment demonstrates the highest performance, employing Term Frequency Inverted Document Frequency (TF-IDF) as the feature extraction technique and Passive-Aggressive Classifier as the classifier and focus on characterizing and disclosing the news to identify bogus content. Most research publications

employed the Naïve Bayes algorithm, with a prediction precision of approximately 70-76%. Most of these papers relied on qualitative analysis, utilizing word frequency repetition, sentiment analysis, and title analysis.

Bai et al. [3] created our method for detecting fake news, which analyzes user-provided input to determine whether it is real. Various NLP and machine learning techniques must be applied to do this. An adequate dataset is used to train the model, and various performance metrics are used to assess its performance. The news headlines or articles are classified using the best model or the model with the highest accuracy. Our best model, with an accuracy of 65% for static search, was logistic regression.

Song et al., [4], understanding the veracity of the news making the rounds on the Internet is crucial. This paper's research focuses on applying computerized models to detect false news by verifying news taken from the Internet. The elements involved in identifying fake news have been discussed.

Devarakonda and Gupta [5] examined the efficiency of LSTM and SVM models in identifying bogus news articles. Our results showed that both models worked well, with an accuracy score of 0.89 for the SVM model and 0.95 for the LSTM model. The LSTM model's classification report showed that it could accurately classify both actual and false news pieces with a high degree of accuracy and having high precision and recall for both kinds of articles.

Chen et al. [6] study issue was well-described, and we evaluated the accuracy of each model by using several machine learning classification models and employing machine learning as a useful technique for identifying fake news. Also, the categorization models for decision trees and support vector machines are operating effectively.

3. Methodology

This section delineates the methodology applied in the classification process, encompassing the development of a tool designed to discern fake articles. The methodology revolves around the application of supervised machine learning for dataset classification. Commencing with the dataset collection phase, subsequent steps include preprocessing, implementing feature selection, conducting training and testing on the dataset, and executing the classifiers. Our primary functional programming tool in this endeavour is the Python programming language, chosen for its versatility and the abundance of libraries it offers for tasks such as data processing and machine learning (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Architecture of the Proposed Methodology

3.1. Data Description

The dataset employed in this research is labelled as news.csv and possesses a shape of (44919, 6). The first column serves as the identifier for the news, followed by the title and text in the second and third columns, respectively. The fourth column

designates the subject, the fifth column contains the date, and the sixth column is assigned for class labels, denoted as 0 or 1 (Table 1).

| Feature Description |
|--|
| It contains the title of the news. |
| It includes news related to the title. |
| It describes the different types of news. |
| (News, political news, Government news, left news, Middle-east news, World news) |
| The date describes when the news article is published. |
| Target Variables (0-fake, 1-True). |
| |

Table 1: News Dataset Description

3.2. Data Preprocessing and Analysis

Data preprocessing is crucial in building a reliable fake news detection model. It involves cleaning, transforming, and organizing the raw data to make it suitable for analysis and model training. Here's an explanation of data preprocessing steps specifically tailored for fake news detection:

3.2.1. Text Cleaning

Remove irrelevant information like HTML tags, special characters, and punctuation. Convert text to lowercase to ensure consistency in word representation.

3.2.2. Tokenization

Parse the text into separate words or tokens, simplifying the task of examining and comprehending the textual content.

3.2.3. Stopwords Removal

Remove common words (Stopwords) that do not carry much meaning, such as "and," "the," and "is." This helps in focusing on more informative words.

3.2.4. Stemming or Lemmatization

Standardize variations by transforming words into their root form. For instance, "running" and "ran" could be simplified to "run."

3.2.5. Handling Missing Data

Check for and handle missing data, ensuring all necessary information is available.

3.2.6. Balancing the Dataset

Ensure a balance between real and fake news samples to prevent the model from becoming biased towards the majority class. This is important for the model to learn patterns from both data types.

3.2.7. Word Cloud Visualization

A Word Cloud visually represents textual data, portraying words in different sizes depending on their prevalence in a specific text collection. This offers a rapid glimpse into the most significant terms within the dataset. The tool is particularly useful for grasping key elements of a corpus concisely. To apply this concept to distinguish between fake and real news, separate Word Clouds can be generated for each category. This comparative visualization allows for an efficient assessment of distinctive linguistic patterns associated with fake and real news, facilitating a clearer understanding of the textual characteristics within each classification (Figures 2 and 3).

| three lawmaker SU nuclear government job presidential attack |
|---|
| work program |
| including month |
| member part of national alphone vertices caid det Donald |
| legislation law Eneed foreign still Reuter York |
| saying Friday Democratic reporter may people sunday |
| EU military federal g end led House Clinton investigationRussia |
| next leader back policy in Support Committee Minister Republican |
| Soudget w killed make White see Crump many and a Department |
| Eday another world American II OIIIP many order to agency concern. |
| China told new Justicebill Time President Senator war |
| week power help C G Iran candidate discussion security control affort |
| Senate meeting willion t political whether court change report |
| decision North United political deal official Secretary |
| chief takemissile will ^c ompanieplan Congress ^o right billion spokesman |
| called public WIII asked sanction going case even election |

Figure 2: Word Cloud Visualization for Real News

| asked | - | event | another | part | Clint | V52 | ing | | Mr |
|------------|---------|--------------|---------|------------------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|
| come | law | Departmer | nt | part | CIIII | on any | though | Hillary | city Getty |
| https | ta | ke R | enubli | can. | look | help | United | oJustic | |
| × black | | national | Musli | im | TOOK | h sneed | Ctata | []politi | cal g pic |
| DIACK | child | ren person | no no | thing | read | right | State | | Russia |
| F | BI go | oing 🕇 🛰 | | | NOW | LTRUC | | T auast | point |
| con | servat | ive | uiid | d | Americ | a Yoi | | , quest | LON |
| Upama | real | ly accor | ding | u mg | ot gro | oup Wate | _{ch} matter | Pres | ident |
| Featured | > Cá | all Washing | ton | camp | aign | lot | two GO | PE | |
| claim | ubl | icmake | time | e man | | women u | sed tol | d 🕷 🛛 fan | ilystory see |
| back place | e > wor | ld investi | gation | -now iol | elect | tionima | σρ | think _ | c good 5 |
| war | e in | cluding + | Batton | Joi | SO | urce | Den Den | nocratic g | live dive e |
| . email | t nev | er wom | an , | peop. | Le P | ost ^{may} | today | first 🖡 | anti 🖉 🗄 |
| old | | want orde | - last | ' ' _a | round | mado | tormer | Russian | s io |
| sup | port | | via | | at | tack | number | | called > |
| way re | port | | | 0 | secu | rity | - mor | | official |
| use char | 10em | p the put go | officer | know | | wi | | rey Dello | country |
| day | n | | Veargr | eat | mill | ion | thing we | nt word | left |
| day | SS | | nresi | dential | k | pelieve | | | vernment |
| office | H. | even | prest | c+ Car | ndidate | long - | 5 20 | atra a | Mouubill |
| work | | | three a | 1 Derce | nt | fact 🖁 | , didn | , | mean |
| NOT IX | JIE | | tv acti | ans av | vote | er c | gun | House | fodoral |
| media . | omethi | | acti | onsay | ac | tually | Ame | erican | rederal |
| case | one en | 115 | nation | Dor | nald | don | statem | ent man | Leader |

Figure 3: Word Cloud Visualization for Fake News

3.2.8. Text Vectorization

Convert text data into numerical vectors that machine learning models can understand. Common techniques include:

- Bag-of-Words (BoW): Represents each document as a bag (unordered set) of words.
- TF-IDF (Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency): Weights the importance of words in a document relative to their frequency across all documents.

3.2.9. Splitting Data

Partition the dataset into training and testing sets to assess the model's performance on data it has not been exposed to before.

3.2.10. Encoding Labels

Convert categorical labels (real or fake) into numerical format for model training.

After completing these preprocessing steps, the data is ready to be fed into a machine-learning model for training. The effectiveness of a fake news detection model also depends on the chosen algorithm, model architecture, and hyperparameter tuning.

3.3. Machine Learning Algorithms

Machine learning algorithms such as Logistic Regression, Naïve Bayes, Random Forest and Support Vector Machine are applied as a proposed approach.

3.3.1. Logistic Regression

Data classification in machine learning is accomplished by applying the supervisory learning algorithm, logistic regression. Although linear and logistic regression are relatively similar, logistic regression is used to solve classification difficulties, and regression is used to solve regression problems and produce constant values. Using previous dataset observations, a Logistic Regression model forecasts a data variable. Its purpose is to predict the likelihood of the target variables. Logistic regression comes in three varieties.

Binomial: In this kind, there are only two possible outcomes for the dependent variables: 0 or 1. They are binary. Such as "yes" or "no."

Multinomial: This dependent variable lacks quantitative significance and has three or more unordered categories. 'Player X,' for instance "Player Y," "Player Z," etc.

Ordinal: The dependent variable in this categorization would have quantitative significance and three or more ordered kinds.

As an illustration, the terms "Winner," "Runner Up," and "2nd Runner Up" would each have a value of 1, 2, or 3.

Logistic regression has several benefits because it is simple to use, understand, and train. However, to achieve better accuracy, logistic regression needs a large dataset.

3.3.2. Naïve Bayes

Naïve Bayes is a popular and powerful machine learning algorithm in the probabilistic classifiers family. It is widely used for various classification tasks, such as spam filtering, sentiment analysis, and document categorization. Despite its simplicity, Naïve Bayes often performs remarkably well and is particularly efficient for large datasets. The algorithm is based on Bayes' theorem, a fundamental concept in probability theory. Naïve Bayes assumes that the features used to describe the input data are conditionally independent, given the class label. This is where the "naïve" in Naïve Bayes comes from, as it simplifies the modelling process by making this assumption. The underlying principle of Naïve Bayes involves calculating the probability of a particular class given the observed features.

The algorithm estimates the likelihood of each feature for each class during the training phase, and then, during the testing phase, it applies Bayes' theorem to determine the most probable class for a given set of features. One key advantage of Naïve Bayes is its efficiency, especially when dealing with high-dimensional data. It requires a relatively small amount of training data to estimate the parameters, and its simplicity often leads to faster training times compared to more complex algorithms. Despite its simplicity and the "naïve" assumption, Naïve Bayes has proven surprisingly effective in many real-world applications. However, its performance may degrade when the independence assumption is violated or the dataset exhibits strong dependencies between features. Naïve Bayes is a straightforward yet powerful algorithm that leverages probabilistic principles to perform classification tasks efficiently. Its simplicity, speed, and effectiveness make it popular in various machine learning and data analysis domains.

3.3.3. Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Support Vector Machines (SVM) is a powerful and versatile supervised machine learning algorithm for classification and regression tasks. Developed by Vladimir Vapnik and his colleagues in the 1990s, SVM has gained widespread popularity due to its ability to handle high-dimensional data and provide robust solutions in various domains. At its core, SVM is a binary classification algorithm that finds the optimal hyperplane that separates different classes in the feature space. "support vectors" refer to the data points essential in determining a hyperplane. The algorithm aims to increase the margin, or distance from the hyperplane, to the closest data points in each category (Figure 4).



Figure 4: Support Vector Machine Learning Process

SVM is particularly effective in situations where the data is not linearly separable. To address this, SVM can employ the kernel trick technique, which implicitly transforms the input data into a higher-dimensional space, making it easier to find a separating hyperplane. The flexibility and adaptability of SVM make it suitable for a wide range of applications, including image classification, text categorization, bioinformatics, and more. SVM's robustness contributes to its popularity in the machine learning community and its ability to deal with both Linear and Non-Linear relationships for data.

3.3.4. Random Forest Classifier

Random Forest represents a proprietary term for an ensemble of decision trees. A collection of decision trees exists within the Random Forest framework, often called a "Forest." When tasked with classifying a new object based on its attributes, each tree provides a classification akin to casting a "vote" for a particular class. The overall forest then selects the classification with the highest number of votes, aggregated from all the trees within the ensemble. This classification algorithm, known as Random Forest, comprises numerous decision trees and employs bagging and feature randomness when constructing each tree. These techniques aim to create an ensemble of uncorrelated trees, enhancing the accuracy of predictions when collectively considered. As the name suggests, Random Forest functions as a vast assembly of individual decision trees, working collaboratively as an ensemble. Each tree within the Random Forest produces a class prediction, and the class with the most votes emerges as the prediction of our model.

4. Results and Discussion

Implementation was done using the machine learning algorithms and different classification models with Vector features-Count Vectors and Tf-Idf vectors at the Word level. We used the accuracy score to Analyze the effectiveness of each model and the categorization. The table below displays Precision, Recall, F1-score, and Accuracy for each model, including Logistic Regression, Naïve Bayes, Random Forest, and Support Vector Machine. Compared with the other three models—Logistic Regression, Naïve Bayes, and Random Forest—the Support Vector Machine exhibits superior performance, achieving the highest accuracy of 99.30% (Table 2).

| Model | Precision | Recall | F1-score | Accuracy |
|------------------------|-----------|--------|----------|----------|
| Logistic Regression | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 98.7 |
| Naïve Bayes | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 93.71 |
| Support Vector Machine | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 99.30 |
| Random Forest | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 98.70 |

Calculation of accuracy: The most popular measurement for the proportion of accurately anticipated observations— whether true or false—is accuracy. The following equation is applied to determine a model's accuracy.

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

High accuracy values typically indicate a good model, but since we are training a classification model in this case, a false positive or false negative can have negative repercussions. The figure below compares four machine learning algorithms (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Comparison of Accuracy of ML algorithms

Precision: On the other side, the accuracy score shows the ratio of actual positives to all occurrences expected to be true. Precision shows the percentage of articles marked as true out of all the positively predicted (true) articles (Figure 6).



Figure 6: Comparison of Precision of ML algorithms

Recall is the total number of correctly classified cases outside the true class. In our case, all the successfully expected articles represent the percentage of accurately anticipated articles (Figure 7).



Figure 7: Comparison for Recall of ML algorithms

F1 Score: The precision versus recall trade-off is represented by the F1 score. The harmonic mean between each of the two is computed. As such, it considers both false negative and false positive observations. The formula below can be used to determine the F1 score (Figure 8):



Figure 8: Comparison of F1-Score of ML algorithms

5. Conclusion

Fake news is commonly described as unreliable information disseminated across various online platforms. In conclusion, employing machine learning models to detect fake news is a valuable and effective strategy. Throughout this study, we have delved into and discussed the essential components for recognizing and verifying the authenticity of news on the Internet. The findings underscore the significance of leveraging advanced technologies to address the growing challenge of misinformation, ultimately contributing to a more informed and discerning public. Understanding the credibility of information circulating on the Internet is highly crucial. This paper describes the challenge and presents a valuable approach to detecting fake news using

machine learning. We assessed the accuracy of various machine learning classification models, and each algorithm's score yielded different predicted outputs. The Support Vector Machine classification model is performing well.

Acknowledgment: I am deeply grateful to my co-authors for their expertise and dedication, which greatly enriches this work.

Data Availability Statement: The data for this study can be made available upon request to the corresponding author.

Funding Statement: This manuscript and research paper were prepared without any financial support or funding.

Conflicts of Interest Statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethics and Consent Statement: This research adheres to ethical guidelines, obtaining informed consent from all participants.

References

- 1. M. M. Mahmoud, R. S. Qureshi, and M. Q. Rafiq, "Artificial Intelligence for Vendor Selection in Supply Chain Management: A Review," IEEE Access, vol. 7, no.1, pp. 18374–18385, 2019.
- 2. K. Govindan, T. C. E. Cheng, N. Mishra, and N. Shukla, "Big data analytics and application for logistics and supply chain management," Transp. Res. Part E: Logist. Trans. Rev., vol. 114, no.5, pp. 343–349, 2018.
- 3. Y. Bai, J. Sun, and Y. Sun, "A Novel Intelligent Supplier Selection Algorithm Based on Big Data," in Proc. IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management, pp. 1957–1961, India, 2017.
- 4. J. Song, Z. Li, and Z. Zhang, "The Application of Data Mining in Supplier Selection," in Proc. IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management, pp. 971–975, India, 2015.
- 5. S. Devarakonda and A. Gupta, "Application of Machine Learning Techniques for Supplier Selection," in Proc. IEEE International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI), pp. 2420–2424, India, 2021.
- 6. F. Chen, X. Xu, and R. Wang, "AI-Based Vendor Selection in E-Commerce," IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1576–1588, 2018.
- R. Madhushree and V. K. Singh, "Role of AI in Supply Chain Management," in Proc. IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), pp. 1357–1361, India, 2020.
- 8. A. J. Duncan, J. T. Lin, and K. M. Mcleod, "Optimizing Vendor Selection Using Artificial Intelligence," IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 437–447, 2017.
- 9. S. Y. Choi, S. W. Kim, and H. J. Kim, "A Hybrid Approach to Supplier Selection Using Data Mining and Decision Trees," in Proc. IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), pp. 3624–3628, India, 2016.
- 10. K. Shafiee and Z. Luo, "AI Techniques in Vendor Selection: A Case Study in the Automotive Industry," IEEE Access, vol. 9, no.1, pp. 79864–79875, 2021.
- 11. L. Wang, Y. Chen, and L. Zhang, "Artificial Intelligence in Supply Chain Management: A Review and Bibliometric Analysis," IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 87–100, 2023.
- 12. X. Li, "Data-Driven Vendor Selection Using AI Algorithms," in Proc. IEEE International Conference on Smart Manufacturing and Data Science (SMDS), pp. 128–133, China, 2019.
- 13. J. H. Park and H. S. Kim, "AI Applications in Logistics and Vendor Management," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 755–764, 2020.
- 14. B. T. Nguyen, "Machine Learning in Vendor Selection: Trends and Future Directions," IEEE Access, vol. 8, no.1, pp. 101632–101645, 2020.